

1 Proceedings

2 of both the bus rapid transit and the
3 rail, I don't think that we've got very
4 much and nor should we proceed with
5 construction.

6 MR. PLOTCHYK: You have 30 seconds,
7 sir.

8 MR. GUALTIERI: I also do not
9 believe that -- that the heavy rail
10 component, I think that the 3A, I think it
11 is, with bus rapid transit, that would
12 enhance bus rapid transit, the higher cost
13 bus rapid transit, is probably the best
14 public transit option, without the heavy
15 rail, which it will probably be far beyond
16 the capacity of the public to finance.

17 MR. PLOTCHYK: Thank you, sir. Time
18 is up. Thank you.

19 George Gesperini, please.

20 (No response given).

21 MR. PLOTCHYK: Okay. Next in order
22 to Carolyn Cunningham.

23 MS. CUNNINGHAM: I want to make a
24 statement for myself and for Federated
25 Conservationists of Westchester County,

1 Proceedings

2 which group I've been representing on the
3 Tappan Zee Task Force, just to say that we
4 are terrifically disappointed that full
5 corridor rail has not been chosen, and
6 want to make sure that the proposal to
7 prepare the Bridge for commuter rail to go
8 at least into New York City, across
9 Rockland, is actually in there. Some of
10 the statements on the -- on your Power
11 Point right now, CRT advances as
12 circumstances and finances dictate makes
13 it sound like we are not necessarily
14 getting a rail ready bridge, which I think
15 should be a basic bottom line, and which
16 we would certainly, all of the several
17 thousand of us that are represented in
18 Federated Conservationists, would hope is
19 a basic bottom line of this, and will hope
20 that somehow during the process that you
21 can see the wisdom, both environmentally
22 and other ways, of doing full corridor
23 rail.

24 MR. PLOTCHYK: Thank you, ma'am.

25 George, are you here?