

**George Paschalis**

**From:** DeBarros, Damiao [dad3@westchestergov.com]  
**To:** tzbsite@dot.state.ny.us  
**Cc:**  
**Subject:** TZ Bridge/I-287 comment  
**Attachments:**

**Sent:** Fri 10/10/2008 2:30 PM

A tunnel would have been a much better solution then replacing the bridge. The following reasons seem to me, to support my conclusion.

1. With the technical advances in tunneling, it would seem to be most effective in both time and cost.
2. Several tubes could provide for public transportation, (train), commercial, (truck/bus), and passenger car traffic.
3. There would be minimal affect of weather related to the flow of traffic, (snow/high-winds).
4. Less physical and esthetic impact on the environment.

Why was a tunnel to replace the bridge, ruled out?